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#### Abstract

The subliminal channel in a cryptographic protocol such as an authentication system or a signature scheme provides an additional channel from the sender to an authorized receiver and can't be read by any unauthorized receiver. In this paper, we firstly show that Hess's ID-Based signature scheme in SAC' 02 can provide digital signature with the broadband and narrowband subliminal channels. Secondly, we evaluate Jan-Tseng signature schemes with subliminal channel in ICPP' 99 and show that any user can change the signature, such that the subliminal message receiver cannot get the subliminal message correctly, but the verification of signature is still right.
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## 1 Introduction

A subliminal channel is a covert communication channel to send a message to an authorized receiver. This message cannot be discovered by any unauthorized receiver. In [13], Simmons invented the concept of subliminal channel in conventional digital signature schemes. The subliminal message is hidden in what looks like a normal digital signature and only authorized receiver can read it. The subliminal channel in a digital signature has several applications [17]. For example, a credit card provider can hide the card holder's credit history and credit limit in a digital signature for an issued credit card.
In 1985, Simmons [14] showed that in any digital signature scheme in which $\alpha$ bits are used to communicate a signature that provides $\beta$ bits of security against forgery, where $\alpha>\beta$, the remaining $\alpha-\beta$ bits are potentially available for subliminal communication. In [15], Simmons defined that if the subliminal channel uses all, or nearly all, of the $\alpha-\beta$ bits, it is said to be broadband, while if it uses only a fraction of the $\alpha-\beta$ bits, it is said to be narrowband.
Beside Simmons's work, in 1997, Harn and Gong proposed two schemes that provide a digital signature with a broadband subliminal channel that does not require the subliminal receiver to share the signer's secret key. However, the length of the digital signature generated in their proposed schemes is too long, while the size of the secret keys kept by the signer and the subliminal receiver are also large. Jan and Tseng proposed two new signature schemes with subliminal channels in [6].
Recently, the bilinear pairings, namely the Weil pairing and the Tate pairing of algebraic curves, have been found various applications in cryptography [1, 2, 7, 12].

[^0]More precisely, they are important tools for construction of ID-based cryptographic schemes. The ID-based public key setting can be an alternative for certificatebased public key setting, especially when efficient key management and moderate security are required. Many ID-based signature schemes have been proposed using the bilinear pairings [3, 5, 11, 12]. In these ID-based signature schemes using the bilinear pairings, Hess's scheme is not only efficient but has a security proof relative to the computational Diffie-Hellman problem. In this paper, we discuss the subliminal channel in this IDbased signature scheme. We show that Hess's ID-based signature scheme can provide a broadband subliminal channel and a narrowband subliminal channel.
In ICPP'99, Jan and Tseng proposed two new signature schemes with subliminal channels in [6]. Here we analysis Jan et al.'s signature schemes with subliminal channel, and we show that any user can change the signature in their signature schemes, such that the subliminal message receiver cannot get the subliminal message correctly, but the verification of signature is still right.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: The next section explains briefly Hess's ID-based Signature Scheme from the bilinear pairings. Section 3 gives a detailed description of a broadband subliminal channel and a narrowband subliminal channel in Hess's IDbased signature scheme. In Section 4, we give a cryptanalysis of Jan et al.'s signature schemes with subliminal channel. Section 5 concludes this paper.

## 2 Hess's ID-Based Signature Scheme

In this section, we introduce Hess's ID-based signature scheme from the bilinear pairings. First of all, we give the basic concept and some properties of the bilinear pairings.

### 2.1 Basic Concepts on Bilinear Pairings

Let $G_{1}$ be a cyclic additive group generated by $P$, whose order is a prime $q$, and $G_{2}$ be a cyclic multiplicative group of the same order $q$. We assume that the discrete logarithm problems in both $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are hard. Let $e: G_{1} \times G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be a pairing which satisfies the following conditions:

1. Bilinear: $e\left(P_{1}+P_{2}, Q\right)=e\left(P_{1}, Q\right) e\left(P_{2}, Q\right)$ and $e\left(P, Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right)=e\left(P, Q_{1}\right) e\left(P, Q_{2}\right)$;
2. Non-degenerate: There exists $P \in G_{1}$ and $Q \in$ $G_{1}$ such that $e(P, Q) \neq 1$;
3. Computable: There is an efficient algorithm to compute $e(P, Q)$ for all $P, Q \in G_{1}$.

Suppose that $G_{1}$ is an additive group. Now we describe four mathematical problems.

- Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP): Given two group elements $P$ and $Q$, find an integer $n$, such that $Q=n P$ whenever such an integer exists.
- Decision Diffie-Hellman Problem (DDHP): For $a, b, c \in Z_{q}^{*}$, given $(P, a P, b P, c P)$ decide whether $c \equiv a b \bmod q$.
- Computational Diffie-Hellman Problem (CDHP): For $a, b \in Z_{q}^{*}$, given $(P, a P, b P)$, compute $a b P$.
- Gap Diffie-Hellman Problem (GDHP): A class of problems where DDHP is easy while CDHP is hard.

We assume through this paper that CDHP and DLP are intractable, which means there is no polynomial time algorithm to solve CDHP or DLP with non-negligible probability. When the DDHP is easy but the CDHP is hard on the group $G$, we call $G$ a Gap Diffie-Hellman $(G D H)$ group. Such groups can be found on supersingular elliptic curves or hyperelliptic curves over finite field, and the bilinear parings can be derived from the Weil or Tate pairing. Refer to $[1,3,5,18]$ for more details.

### 2.2 Hess's ID-based Signature Scheme from Pairing

Hess's ID-based signature scheme consists of following algorithms, Setup, Extract, Signing and Verification.

Let $G_{1}$ be a GDH group of prime order $q$. The bilinear pairing is given as $e: G_{1} \times G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$.

Setup: Let $P$ be a generator of $G_{1}$. Choose a random number $s \in Z_{q}^{*}$ and set $P_{p u b}=s P$. Define two cryptographic hash functions $H:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow Z_{q}$ and $H_{1}:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow G_{1}$. The system parameters are PARAMS $=\left\{G_{1}, G_{2}, q, P, P_{p u b}, H, H_{1}\right\}$, and $s$ be the MASTERKEY of TA (Trust Authority).

Extract: Given an identity ID, which implies the public key $Q_{I D}=H_{1}(I D)$, the algorithm returns the private key $S_{I D}=s Q_{I D}$.

The above two operations, Setup and Extract are carried out by TA. Note that TA can access to the sensitive private key $S_{I D}$. To avoid power abuse by TA, $n$ trust authorities with ( $n, n$ )-threshold secret sharing scheme can be used to escrow the MASTER-KEY, as suggested in [5].

Signing: Suppose that $m$ is the message to be signed. Let $a \in_{R}$ denote the uniform random selection.

- Compute $r=e(P, P)^{k}$, where $k \in_{R} Z_{q}^{*}$.
- Compute $v=H(m \| r)$.
- Compute $U=v S_{I D}+k P$.

Then $(U, v)$ is the signature of the message $m$. Verification: Compute

$$
r=e(U, P) e\left(Q_{I D}, P_{p u b}\right)^{-v}
$$

Accept the signature if and only if

$$
v=H(m \| r)
$$

The signature consists of an element in $G_{1}$ and an element in $Z_{q}$. In practice, $G_{1}$ will be the group of points on an elliptic curve. So the size of the element in $G_{1}$ (elliptic curve group) can be reduced by a factor of 2 with compression techniques in [10].

## 3 Subliminal Channel in Hess's ID-based Signature Scheme

### 3.1 The Broadband Subliminal Channel

Before the signer sends subliminal message, he must be encoded to make mathematical sense. In this case, the signer must imbed the subliminal message, $m_{\text {sub }}$, as an element $R_{s u b}$ of $G_{1}$ (In practice, $G_{1}$ will be the group of points on an elliptic curve over the finite field $\left.F_{p}\right)$. For the imbedding message on an elliptic curve over the finite field $F_{p}$, there is no known deterministic polynomial algorithm, however there are probabilistic algorithms which have very small failure probability. About the method of imbedding, we refer to Chapt 6 of [9] and [8].

Assuming that the signer wants to sign $m$, the subliminal message is $m_{\text {sub }}$. The signer gives the secret key $S_{I D}$ to the subliminal receiver in a confidential way.

Signing: Imbed subliminal message $m_{s u b}$ as an element $R_{\text {sub }}$ of $G_{1}$.

- Compute $r=e\left(R_{\text {sub }}, P\right)$.
- Compute $v=H(m \| r)$.
- Compute $U=v S_{I D}+R_{s u b}$.

Then $(U, v)$ is the signature of the message $m$.
Verification: Same as Hess's ID-based signature scheme.

Message recovery in subliminal channel: The subliminal receiver verifies the signature to make sure that the message is authentic. He then uses the secret key $S_{I D}$ to compute $R_{s u b}=U-v S_{I D}$, and decodes $R_{s u b}$, and recovers the subliminal message $m_{\text {sub }}$.

Assume that the the subliminal message $m_{\text {sub }}$ is random, after encoding, we can regard $R_{s u b}$ as a random element of $G_{1}$. So $R_{\text {sub }}$ plays the role of $k P$ in Hess's ID-based signature scheme. We know that Hess's IDbased signature scheme is proven to be secure against existential forgery on adaptive chosen-message attacks under the random oracle model assumption, so above ID-based signature scheme with broadband subliminal channel is secure. Obviously, the same subliminal message can't be sent twice using different signatures. If the subliminal message $m_{s u b}$ is doubly sent by two signatures $\left(m_{1}, U_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ and $\left(m_{2}, U_{2}, v_{2}\right)$, then $U_{1}-v_{1} S_{I D}=U_{2}-v_{2} S_{I D}$, so we have $S_{I D}=\left(v_{1}-\right.$ $\left.v_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(U_{1}-U_{2}\right)$, i.e., we can recover the secret signing key of the signer.

This channel has an obvious shortcoming. In order for the subliminal receiver to be capable of recovering the subliminal message, it is necessary for him to know the signer's secret key. This means that the subliminal receiver can forge the signer's signature. If the signer wants to use this broadband subliminal channel, he must unconditionally trust the subliminal receiver. To avoid this shortcoming, we give another subliminal channel: the narrowband subliminal channel.

### 3.2 The Narrowband Subliminal Channel

Simmons suggested a narrowband subliminal channel for $l$ bits subliminal message in DSA (Digital Signature Algorithm) [16]. Like [16], we can give a narrowband subliminal channel for $l$ bits in Hess's ID-based signature scheme. We describe it in detail as follows:

The signer chooses additionally a random number $k^{\prime} \in_{R} Z_{q}^{*}$, computes $r^{\prime}=e(P, P)^{k^{\prime}}$ and sends $r^{\prime}$ to the subliminal receiver in a confidential way. We assume that the signer wants to sign $m$, and let $m_{\text {sub }}$ be $l$ bit subliminal message.

Signing:

- Compute $r=e(P, P)^{k^{\prime}+m_{s u b}}$.
- Compute $v=H(m \| r)$.
- Compute $U=v S_{I D}+\left(k^{\prime}+m_{\text {sub }}\right) P$.

Then $(U, v)$ is the signature of the message $m$.
Verification: Same as Hess's ID-based signature scheme.

Message recovery in subliminal channel: The subliminal receiver verifies the signature to make sure the message is authentic. He then uses his secret key $r^{\prime}$ to compute $r / r^{\prime}=e(P, P)^{m_{s u b}}$. Because $l$ is bounded, the subliminal receiver can get the subliminal message $m_{\text {sub }}$ by total search.

The size of $l$ depends on the computational power of the subliminal receiver. Like above broadband subliminal channel, the same subliminal message can't be sent twice using different signatures too. Next, we will show that the subliminal receiver and any adversary can't forge the signature of the signer. The subliminal receiver know $r^{\prime}=e(P, P)^{k^{\prime}}$. He can get $m_{\text {sub }}$, but doesn't know $k^{\prime}$, since he must solve the discrete logarithm problem in $G_{2}$ if he wants to get $k^{\prime}$ from $r^{\prime}$.

We assume that the subliminal message is random, so $k=k^{\prime}+m_{\text {sub }}$ is a random element of $Z_{q}$, the security of above signature scheme with narrowband subliminal channel is same as the original ID-based signature scheme.

## 4 Cryptanalysis of Jan et al.'s Signature Schemes with Subliminal Channel

### 4.1 Jan et al.'s Signature Schemes with Subliminal Channel

First of all we review Jan et al.'s Signature Schemes in brief using the same notation as [6].

Jan et al.'s Signature Schemes with a Broadband Subliminal Channel:

The parameters are summarized as follows:

- Public values of the signer: $(p, q, g, y, h())$, here $p$ is a large prime number, $q$ is a prime divisor of $p-1$ and $g$ is a generator with the order $q$ in $G F(p), y=g^{-x_{1}-x_{2}}, h()$ is a one-way hash function.
- Secret keys of the signer: $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
- Secret key of first-channel receiver: $x_{1}$.
- Secret key of second-channel receiver: $x_{2}$.

The signer signs the message $m$ with two subliminal messages $m_{1} \in Z_{q}^{*}$ and $m_{2} \in Z_{q}^{*}$, where $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are the messages hidden in the first-channel and second- channel. Then, the signer computes the signature ( $e, s_{1}, s_{2}$ ) for $m$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
e=h\left(g^{m_{1}} \cdot g^{m_{2}} \bmod p \| m\right), \\
s_{1}=m_{1}+e \cdot x_{1} \bmod q \\
s_{2}=m_{2}+e \cdot x_{2} \bmod q
\end{gathered}
$$

Afterwards, the signer sends $\left(e, s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ to verifiers. Any receiver can verify the signature by checking if the following equation is equal or not.

$$
e=h\left(g^{s_{1}} \cdot g^{s_{2}} \cdot y^{e} \bmod p \| m\right)
$$

The first-channel receiver verifies the signature to make sure the message is authentic. He then uses the secret key $x_{1}$ to compute $m_{1}=s_{1}-e \cdot x_{1} \bmod q$ and recovers the subliminal Message. Similarly, the secondchannel receiver also uses the secret key $x_{2}$ to extract the subliminal message $m_{2}$.

Jan et al.'s Signature Schemes with a Narrowband Subliminal Channel:

The parameters are summarized as follows:

- Public values of the signer: $(p, q, g, y, h())$, here $y=g^{-x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}}, h()$ is a one-way hash function.
- Secret keys of the signer: $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.
- Secret key of first-channel receiver: $x_{1}$.
- Secret key of second-channel receiver: $x_{2}$.

The signer signs the message $m$ with two subliminal messages $m_{1} \in Z_{q}^{*}$ and $m_{2} \in Z_{q}^{*}$, where $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are the messages hidden in the first-channel and second- channel. Then, the signer selects a random integer $R \in Z_{q}^{*}$ computes the signature ( $e, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ ) for $m$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
e=h\left(g^{m_{1}} \cdot g^{m_{2}} \cdot g^{R} \bmod p \| m\right), \\
s_{1}=m_{1}+e \cdot x_{1} \bmod q, \\
s_{2}=m_{2}+e \cdot x_{2} \bmod q, \\
s_{3}=m_{3}+e \cdot x_{3} \bmod q .
\end{gathered}
$$

Afterwards, the signer sends $\left(e, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ to verifiers. Any receiver can verify the signature by checking if the following equation is equal or not.

$$
e=h\left(g^{s_{1}} \cdot g^{s_{2}} \cdot g^{s_{3}} \cdot y^{e} \bmod p \| m\right)
$$

The message recovery in subliminal channels is similar to the signature scheme with a broadband subliminal channel.

Jan et al.'s signature schemes can be implemented using the bilinear pairings, such that they can be IDbased signature. But as we will show that Jan et al.'s signature schemes with subliminal channel can't provide the subliminal channel correctly.

### 4.2 Cryptanalysis

In most applications of subliminal channel in a digital signature, the holder of message-signature pair doesn't hope that the signer can send some secret message to a special receiver through his message-signature pair. For instance, in the prisoners problem [13] or credit card application, the wardenry or the card holder doesn't hope there is some subliminal channel in their messagesignature pairs. In this section, we show that in Jan et al.'s signature schemes with subliminal channel, any user can change the signature, such that the subliminal message receiver cannot get the subliminal message correctly and the message-signature pair still is valid.

At Jan et al.'s broadband scheme, a user has the signature of the signer $\left(e, s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ for $m$. If we let

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{1}^{\prime} \in_{R} Z_{q} \\
s_{2}^{\prime}=s_{1}+s_{2}-s_{1}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

then $\left(e, s_{1}^{\prime}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a valid signature for $m$. But from $s_{i}^{\prime}-e \cdot x_{i} \bmod q$, any subliminal channel receiver cannot recover message. Similarly, at Jan et al.'s narrowband scheme, we let

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{1}^{\prime} \in_{R} Z_{q}, s_{2}^{\prime} \in_{R} Z_{q}, \\
s_{3}^{\prime}=s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}-s_{1}^{\prime}-s_{2}^{\prime},
\end{gathered}
$$

then $\left(e, s_{1}^{\prime}, s_{2}^{\prime}, s_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is a valid signature for $m$ too, but any subliminal channel receiver cannot recover the subliminal message which they want.

At Jan et al.'s narrowband scheme, the user can control which receiver can recover the message correctly.

For instance, the user hopes that only the first-channel receiver can recover the subliminal message correctly, then he can do as follows: for the original signature $\left(e, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{1}^{\prime}=s_{1}, s_{2}^{\prime} \in_{R} Z_{q} \\
s_{3}^{\prime}=s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}-s_{1}^{\prime}-s_{2}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

then $\left(e, s_{1}^{\prime}, s_{2}^{\prime}, s_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is a valid signature for $m$ too, but only the first-channel receiver can recover the subliminal message correctly.

So we say that Jan et al.'s signature schemes with subliminal channel can't provide subliminal channel correctly.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we studied some signature schemes with subliminal channel. We firstly show that Hess's ID-Based signature scheme can provide a broadband subliminal channel and a narrowband subliminal channel. Then we analysis Jan et al.'s signature schemes with subliminal channel, and we show that some dishonest users can change the signature in their signature schemes, such that the subliminal message receiver cannot get the subliminal message correctly, but the verification of signature is still right.

Recently, many ID-based signature schemes have been proposed using the bilinear pairings $[3,5,11,12]$. But it seems that the approach used in this paper can not apply to others ID-based signature schemes using pairings. How to deal with the subliminal channel problem in others ID-based signature schemes using pairings, such as [3] and [11], is our further work.
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